

to be due to a faulty specimen. The  $\sigma_z$  versus  $\sigma_r$  curve for this material is similar to that for the tungsten carbide with 13 percent cobalt binder both in shape and the onset of yielding with higher pressures. The chrome-carbide specimen exhibited brittle failure at all pressures and the  $\sigma_z$  versus  $\sigma_r$  curve for this material is similar in shape to the curve for the tungsten carbide with 3 percent cobalt binder.

#### Oxide Ceramics, Fig. 5

The specimens for these tests were cut from commercial stock in this laboratory. The Diamonite material was an aluminum-oxide ceramic with low percentage additives of chromium, magnesium, and silicon produced by the Diamonite Corporation. The  $Al_2O_3$  material was a polycrystalline ceramic produced by Wesgo and reported to be 99.5 percent  $Al_2O_3$ . The Mullite material was a 3  $Al_2O_3 \cdot 2 SiO_2$  ceramic produced by McDaniel, grade MY-30. The MgO material was a high-density ceramic, 99.5 percent MgO, produced by Minneapolis Honeywell. The Pyrex material was taken from the laboratory stock of unknown origin. Several other materials including  $BaTiO_3$ , Zircoa, sapphire, PZT, quartz, fired lava, and Corning Pyroceram were also tested. During the initial testing, these latter materials were observed to fail at pressures too low to justify further testing. As a group the oxide ceramics displayed very similar characteristics, all exhibiting essentially the same slope for the  $\sigma_z$  versus  $\sigma_r$  curves.

Overall, the most interesting feature of the data is the high ultimate compressive strength exhibited by the tungsten-carbide compounds with 13 percent cobalt binder when supported by only 3 kbar fluid pressure. Although we presently do not have a physical explanation for the anomalous characteristics of the 6 and 13 percent cobalt samples, these characteristics may be immediately employed in the design of high-pressure apparatus. With only 3 to 6 kbar fluid support pressure need-

ed (instead of the usual 25 kbar), a double-piston arrangement similar to the Kennedy (3) apparatus could be constructed with considerable sample volume.

Fig. 6 is a schematic representation of an alternate apparatus employing the fluid-enhancement scheme. This apparatus would operate within the pressure chamber of an autoclave, yielding a true "two stage" apparatus. The merit of the apparatus shown in Fig. 6 lies in the economy of expanding the pressure range of relatively common autoclaves, rather than constructing a new press unit. The present technology of fluid-pressure-vessel design permits the manufacture of large internal diameter (125 cm) vessels (7) capable of containing pressures as high as 6 kbar. Employing the ultimate compressive strength enhancement exhibited by the carbides with around 13 percent cobalt binder, a piston-cylinder apparatus of considerable volume (on the order of thousands of cubic centimeters) and capable of pressures greater than 60 kbar could be constructed within such an autoclave.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 P. W. Bridgman, Proceedings, American Academy of Arts and Sciences, vol. 81, 1952, pp. 167-251.
- 2 H. T. Hall, Review of Scientific Instruments, vol. 29, 1958, p. 267.
- 3 P. N. La Mori, "High Pressure Measurement," Butterworths, London, England, 1963, p. 332.
- 4 P. W. Bridgman, "The Physics of High Pressure," G. Bell and Sons, 1958, p. 401.
- 5 P. W. Bridgman, "Studies in Large Plastic Flow and Fracture," Chapter 5, Harvard University Press, Boston, Mass., 1964.
- 6 Harwood Engineering, Walpole, Mass.
- 7 ASEA, (Allmanna Svenska Elektriska Aktiebolaget), Sweden, Bulletin 8146E.